

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.1826 of 2009 (O&M)

Date of decision:25.03.2015

Hisar Improvement Trust, Hisar ... Petitioner

versus

Smt. Kiran Jain and others Respondents

II. Civil Revision No.2069 of 2009 (O&M)

Hisar Improvement Trust, Hisar ... Petitioner

versus

Smt. Kiran Jain and others Respondents

III. Civil Revision No.2070 of 2009 (O&M)

Hisar Improvement Trust, Hisar ... Petitioner

versus

Shri Shailendra Jain and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Sudhir Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shailendra Jain, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Bhagender, Advocate,
for the respondents 1 to 5 in CR Nos.2069 and 2070 of
2009; and for respondents 1 and 2 in CR No.1826 of
2009.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwana, DAG, Haryana.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

The matters relate to determination of compensation amount payable under the Land Acquisition Act. The objection taken at the time of arguments by the petitioner was that the amount claimed by the decree-holder was in excess of what was legally liable to be paid and the calculation given by the decree-holder was not correct. I had directed both parties to give memo of calculation for amounts payable as per the terms of the award. The counsel for the respondents has given a memo of calculation and I have requested the counsel for the petitioner to also point out to me any error in the calculation made. I must observe that the petitioner has himself not been able to file any memo of calculation to contradict the calculation given by the decree-holder nor is the petitioner able to point out to any mistake in the calculation made. I have satisfied myself by looking to the memorandum of calculation and hold that the amount claimed by the decree-holder to conform to the decree and there is no error in the execution process that is leviable at their instance. I find no scope for intervention. The civil revisions are dismissed.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

25.03.2015
sanjeev