

Sr.No.203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA CHANDIGARH

COCp-814-2013 (O&M)

Decided on: 24.09.2015

Satish Kumar

.....Petitioner

vs.

Shashi Kant and another

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Grover

Present: Mr. Ravi Badyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.K.Kaushal, Advocate for respondents.

Mahesh Grover, J

The order of the writ Court does not mandate the visitation of any consequential benefits upon the petitioner on account of the resultant setting aside of the orders impugned by the petitioner but only envisaged action against the petitioner in accordance with law which has since been done.

In case the petitioner has any dissatisfaction regarding grant of consequential benefits, he is at liberty to take the recourse of his remedies as per law.

Disposed of.

24.09.2015

mamta

(MAHESH GROVER)

JUDGE