

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CP No.21 of 2011 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.09.2015

M/s Meera Industries

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s APPL Bikes Ltd. and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate with
Ms. Nidhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Registered office of the respondent company is within the jurisdiction of Delhi Court. The winding up petition has been filed by invoking the territorial jurisdiction of this Court on the premise that registered office of the respondent company is within the jurisdiction of this Court.

The matter is pending adjudication since 2011, I do not deem it appropriate to keep it pending for the reasons that registered office as shown in the memo of parties is at Delhi as this Court would not have any jurisdiction.

The petition is ordered to be returned with a liberty to the petitioner to file the same in Competent Court of law having a territorial jurisdiction by moving an application seeking condonation of delay.

Petition is disposed of.

14.09.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**