

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

C.O.C.P. No.834 of 2015 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION : 10.8.2015

Vir Singh

PETITIONER

VERSUS

Navkashdeep Singh and another

RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

1. Whether reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present:- Shri Vishal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri B.S.Ichhewal, Advocate for respondent-1.
Shri Amit Mehta, Advocate for respondent-2.

MAHESH GROVER, J.

It is not in dispute that the unrevised service benefits have been paid to the petitioner and a resolution for paying the revised benefits has also been passed.

Learned counsel for the respondents contend that the revised service benefits would also be paid to the petitioner within the next three months.

Let the needful be done within 3 months.

In view of the above, the instant petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

August 10, 2015
GD

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE