

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.78 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision:20.05.2015

Gurpreet Kaur

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

S Anirudh Tiwari and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Rajinder Kumar, Advocate, for
Mr.JPS Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Anant Kataria, AAG, Punjab.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

CM No.10857-CII-2015

Application is allowed.

Reply is taken on record.

COCP No.78 of 2015

Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that the direction is only to consider the claim of the petitioner for the purpose of her appointment in terms of the policy framed by the Government. It is submitted that the case of the petitioner has been duly considered but her claim for appointment has been rejected in view of the policy of the Government.

The petitioner may, if so advised, can assail the order passed by the respondents but insofar as the present petition is concerned it is dismissed as infructuous.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

20.05.2015

Vivek