

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.3548 of 2014

Date of decision:20.01.2015

N.K. Bhardwaj

...Petitioner

Vs.

Sh. Ram Niwas & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Aniket Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

The petitioner had filed CWP No.6718 of 2014 raising a grievance that his medical reimbursement bills to the tune of Rs.2,32,250/- for implantation of an artificial limb on account of amputation of his leg above the knee in an accident was not being finalized. The writ petition was disposed of on 07.04.2014 with a direction to the respondent-authorities/competent authority to take a final decision on the claim set up by the petitioner and to take a final decision on the legal notice that had already been served.

The instant contempt petition has been filed asserting willful non-compliance of the order dated 07.04.2014 passed by the writ Court.

Mr. Aniket Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner at the very outset has brought to the notice of this Court that vide memo dated 15.12.2014, the Health Department, State of Haryana has framed and

issued a policy regarding fixing the rates for reimbursement regarding artificial dynamic limbs. Copy of the policy has also been produced in Court and the same has been perused. Insofar as above knee amputation is concerned, four different kinds of artificial limbs stand classified and in relation thereto, rates of reimbursement have also been fixed. Insofar as the present petitioner is concerned, the relevant extract of the policy dated 15.12.2014 is extracted hereunder:

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Type of Artificial Limb</i>	<i>Variety</i>	<i>Rate of reimbursement</i>
2	Above knee amputation	d. Conventional above knee prosthesis with sach foot	11,000/-
		e. Modular Prosthesis with mechanical knee with sach foot	55,000/-
		f. Modular Prosthesis with mechanical knee with multi axial foot	75,000/-
		g. Modular prosthesis with pneumatic knee joint with multi axial foot	1,50,000/-

In the light of framing of such policy, this Court is satisfied that the matter has been dealt with by the respondent/contemnors and the contempt petition has been rendered infructuous.

Rule is discharged.

However, it is observed that the requisite financial benefits towards reimbursement of the treatment undertaken by the petitioner in the light of the policy dated 15.12.2014 would be released to the petitioner within a period of four weeks' from today.

Petition accordingly, is disposed of.

20.01.2015
harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE