

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.564 of 2015
Date of decision:24.07.2015

Rakesh ...Petitioner
Versus
Shri Nitin Yadav ...Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. K.L.Dhingra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Singla, Advocate,
for the respondent.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the respondent has submitted that since the due amount has been paid to the petitioner, therefore, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous accordingly.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to file an application for revival of the present petition in case the statement made by counsel for the respondent is found to be incorrect.

July 24, 2015
vinod*

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge