

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1393 of 2004 (O&M)

Decided on: 19.08.2015

Veena Chandyoke . . . Petitioner

Versus

Sudhir Girdhar . . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sumeet Mahajan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Hitesh Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Fariad Singh Virk, Advocate
for the respondent.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent, at the outset, submits that during the pendency of the petition, the demised premises has since been auctioned by the bank. And respondent has purchased the said property. Thus, he is no longer a tenant and in fact has acquired title.

Learned counsel for the petitioner pleads no instructions in this regard, but submits that let this petition be dismissed as having become infructuous. However, if any cause of action or dispute or interest still survives, the petitioner be afforded

CR No.1393 of 2004 (O&M)

liberty to move the requisite application for restoration of this petition for decision on merits.

Learned counsel for the respondent does not oppose this course.

Ordered accordingly with liberty prayed for.

[ARUN PALLI]
JUDGE

19.08.2015
sachin