

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

**COCP No. 3494 of 2014 (O&M)
Decided on 03.3.2015**

Sarwan Kumar Panch

--Petitioner

Vs.

A.Venu Prasad, I.A.S and others

--Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Rahul Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr.Ashok Giri, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr.Anant Kataria, AAG, for the respondents

Mr.S.S.Sandhu, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Rakesh Kumar Jain,J: (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved against the inaction on the part of the respondents for not completing regular enquiry initiated against respondent No.6 despite the fact that the order was passed by this Court way back on January 14.,2014.

Reply to the application has been filed by way of an affidavit of Sh.Sukhdev Raj, B.D.P.O, Pathankot, who is present in Court. Learned counsel for the respondents has drawn my attention to para 6 of the said reply and contended that the enquiry is also pending against respondent No.6, who is Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat, Gobindsar, which would be completed within a period of two months.

In view of the statement made by learned State Counsel on instructions of Sukhdev Raj, BDPO, Pathankot, who is present in Court, the

contempt petition is hereby closed. In case, enquiry is not completed within a period of two months, the petitioner shall be at liberty to file an application for its revival and in those circumstances, assurance given by BDPO, would be treated as an undertaking in terms of the provision of Section 2 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 and the action would be taken accordingly.

Disposed of.

03.3.2015
rr

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge