

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCp no. 3471 of 2013(O&M)

Date of Decision : 20.08.2015

Sukhwinderpal Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Sumedh Singh Saini and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present : Mr. Jaswinder Singh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Punjab

MAHESH GROVER, J.

The writ Court had directed the respondents to give the superannuation benefits to the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the order dated 18.9.2013. It was further stipulated that in case some amount is left out, the petitioner would be entitled to interest at the rate of 8 per cent per annum on such an amount.

Reply has been filed by the respondents giving the details of the payments.

The petitioner contested these to say that there is a shortfall upon which the Court directed the respondents to file another affidavit and to explain reasons for not complying with the orders of this Court.

Respondents have filed fresh affidavit indicating compliance and making good the shortage.

Petitioner still disputes this fact, however, even though he has filed the counter affidavit yet has not given the details of the shortfalls.

On due consideration of the matter and noticing the fact that order of the writ Court stands substantially complied with and the dues paid and the petitioner not controverting to it by pointing out any shortfall in particular, instant petition is disposed of, however, the petitioner in the eventuality of having any shortfall in the amount would be at liberty to approach the respondents for redressal of his grievance.

August 20, 2015
rekha

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge