

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
113**

COCN No. 3325 of 2014

Date of decision: 11.05.2015

Mangat Ram

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Sh. P.K.Mahapatra and another

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sushil Gautam, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondents, with reference to the reply filed, states that the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous as the orders passed by this Court stand complied with. The regular enquiry initiated against the petitioner has been dropped and the consequential retiral benefits have been released to the petitioner, copies of the orders have been appended along with the written statement.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Since the counsel for the petitioner is not present, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the contempt petition, in case any factual averment made in the affidavit of the respondents is incorrect.

Rule discharged.

May 11, 2015

PJ

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**