

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SANJEEV KUMAR
2015.01.18 17:32

COCN No.34 of 2015
Date of Decision.08.01.2015

Suman Kumari

.....Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Rakha Sharma and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Sailender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no case made for contempt, for, what is complained of is that the order of status quo has been passed by this Court. When an order is passed by Court without describing what the status quo is, it should only be taken that what the petitioner claims in the petition has been affirmed. The petitioner claimed that she has not been allowed to continue with the work and that must be taken to be the status quo. There cannot be a contempt in this case.

2. The contempt petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 08, 2015
Pankaj*