

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

103

COCN NO. 3302 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION: May 06, 2015

Baljit Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Smt. Vini Mahajan, Principal Secretary and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASHI

Present: Mr. Mohinder Singh Joshi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Additional Advocate General, Punjab,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASHI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner as also the counsel for the respondents admit that the cost, as imposed by this Court in CWP No. 3042 of 2011 vide order dated 11.08.2014, was reduced by the Division Bench of this Court in an appeal preferred by the State to ₹ 25,000/-, which amount stands deposited on 06.02.2015 with the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court vide receipt No. 216.

Counsel for the respondents, on instructions from Smt. Amrit Pal Kaur, Senior Assistant, O/o DHS, Punjab, Chandigarh, states that the order of appointment, in compliance with the order passed by this Court, has been issued to the petitioner on the post of a Driver but the consequential benefits have not been released to the petitioner because the post of Joint Controller, Finance and Audit was lying vacant and now the additional charge thereof has been given to an officer. The details of the arrears, as per the entitlement of the petitioner, have been calculated and will be released to him within a period of four weeks from today.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is entitled to appointment to the post of Driver from his initial date of appointment i.e. 13.11.1998 whereas he has now been issued a regular appointment letter on 23.01.2015. This, the counsel states, is not sustainable and, therefore, the petitioner would like to challenge the same.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of especially keeping in light the statement made by the counsel for the respondents on instructions.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to revive the contempt petition, if the amount due to the petitioner is not released within the time stated above.

Rule stands discharged subject to complying with the undertaking given to the Court.

May 06, 2015
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE