

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SANJEEV KUMAR
2015.01.18 17:32

COCN No.33 of 2015

Date of Decision.08.01.2015

Ranjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Shri K. Siva Prasad and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. K.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The contempt petition is not maintainable and the registry itself ought not to have numbered the petition in the express provision under Section 20 of the Contempt of Courts Act that sets period of limitation of one year to any action complaining of contempt. The order was passed in the year 2009 and the petition is filed in the year 2015 for contempt of the order passed in the said writ petition.

2. The contempt petition is dismissed.

3. At this stage, the counsel for the petitioner seeks for withdrawal of the contempt petition. It is permitted to be withdrawn, be it be notified that the action for contempt cannot lie any longer.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

January 08, 2015
Pankaj*