

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

107

DATE OF DECISION: May 07, 2015

COCP NO. 3250 of 2014

Sudershan Kaur Walia

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Dr. Roshan Sunkaria and others

....Respondents

COCP NO. 3251 of 2014

Narinderjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Dr. Roshan Sunkaria and others

....Respondents

COCP NO. 3259 of 2014

Dr. (Mrs.) Inderjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Dr. Roshan Sunkaria and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Inqulab Nagpal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondents has placed on record the calculation-sheets with regard to the period of payments made to the petitioners by granting them the benefit of the judgment while fixing their pay in the senior scale and the selection grade.

Counsel for the petitioners prays for liberty to file a representation, in case the calculations, as made by the respondents, are not in consonance with the claims which the petitioners are entitled to, which

representation will be filed by them within a period of four weeks from today to the Director, Public Instructions (Colleges), Punjab-respondent No. 2, who may be directed to consider and decide the same within some specified time.

In the light of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners, these contempt petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to file a representation, if need be, pointing out the errors in the calculations and the amount, which the petitioners are entitled to as per the judgment passed by this Court, within a period of four weeks from today. If such a representation is made, the same shall be considered and decided by the Director, Public Instructions (Colleges), Punjab-respondent No. 2 within a period of six weeks from the date of submission of the representation.

In case the claim of the petitioners is accepted, the consequential benefits be released to them within a further period of six weeks. In case the claim of the petitioners is not to be accepted, a well-reasoned speaking order be passed and conveyed to them forthwith. In case the order, with regard to the period within which the claim of the petitioners has to be decided on their representation, is not passed within the time stipulated, the petitioners would be at liberty to revive these contempt petitions.

May 07, 2015
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE