

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
105

COCP No. 3226 of 2014

Date of Decision: April 29, 2015

Rajvir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Shri Jasminder Singh, ADGP and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.C.Berry, Additional Advocate General, Punjab,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Cost, as ordered by this Court, stands deposited on
27.04.2015. The status report is taken on record.

Mr.G.Nageswara Rao, IPS, IGP/Crime, Punjab,
Chandigarh, is present in Court.

As per the status report, the matter was being
investigated by the respondents as per the directions issued by this
Court when a compromise has been entered into between the parties
i.e. the petitioner and the alleged accused, on the basis of which, a
petition under Section 482 Cr. P.C. had been filed i.e. CrI. Misc. No.
M-2478 of 2015 titled as Ravinder Kumar Gupta and another vs.
State of Punjab and another, wherein this Court had passed the

following order on 09.04.2015 (Annexure R-1):

“ Adjourned to 04.09.2015.

In the meantime, parties are directed to present themselves before the Trial Court on 13.05.2015 or any other date convenient to the Court for recording their statements with regard to the compromise. The Trial Court is directed to record the statements of both the parties to its satisfaction to know its genuineness that the statements are not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. The Trial Court is further directed to send a report along with statements of the parties with regard to the validity or otherwise of the compromise effected between the parties and intimate whether any other case is pending against either of the parties or not before the next date of hearing.”

In view of the above, counsel for the respondents states that the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous.

Counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the fact that the compromise has been entered into between the parties and in the light of the compromise, the petition, as referred to above, has been filed and is pending before this Court.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Rule discharged.

April 29, 2015

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASHI)
JUDGE**