

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
103

COCP No. 3206 of 2014

Date of Decision: April 28, 2015

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Kamal Garg

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Rimple Saini, Advocate,
for Mr. S.S.Kainth, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Additional Advocate General,
Punjab, for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Cost has been deposited on 10.04.2015. Status report
taken on record.

In the light of the status report, which has been filed,
along with which an order dated 10.04.2015 (Annexure R-6) is
appended, where it is mentioned that the degree of the petitioner was
verified and after that, he was given two opportunities to join duty,
which he failed to despite sending letter through registered post and
even information was supplied at his residential address through a
special messenger. However, the residents at the said address
refused to receive the letter with the observations that the petitioner

himself shall receive the letter. The claim of the petitioner for appointment on the post of English Lecturer has been cancelled. This, the counsel for the respondent states, is in accordance with the statutory Rules/instructions.

In view of the above and in the considered view of this Court, the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous.

The proxy counsel appearing for the counsel for the petitioner prays for an adjournment.

The request of the counsel is not accepted as it would not serve any fruitful purpose as the petitioner, if aggrieved by the order dated 10.04.2015 (Annexure R-3), will have to avail of his remedy, in accordance with law, against the said order.

The contempt petition stands disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

Rule discharged.

April 28, 2015
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE