

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. No.360 of 2011 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 21, 2015

Bachan SinghPetitioner
versus
Nirmal Singh and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH.

Present: Mr.Gurnam Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr.Rajesh Bhardwaj, Additional AG, Punjab.
Mr.G.S.Punia, Senior Advocate with
Ms.Harveen Kaur, Advocate and
Mr.Raj Kumar Garg, Advocate, for the respondents.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

In view of the order dated 21.08.2015 passed in
CWP No.15446 of 2010 (Bachan Singh and others versus State
of Punjab and others), these contempt proceedings have
rendered infructuous. The contempt petition is disposed of
accordingly.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

August 21, 2015
mohinder

[JASPAL SINGH]
JUDGE