

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C. R. NO.1082 OF 2008 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: DECEMBER 07, 2015**

Mohd. Irfan

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Janeshwar Dutt Jain and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate for
Mr. Ashok Singla, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Jai Bhagwan, Advocate,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.24594 CII of 2015 has been filed by counsel for the respondents with a prayer that the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous as the non-applicant/petitioner (tenant), in the light of the compromise dated 05.04.2011, has vacated the demised premises and handed over the vacant possession to the respondents.

Notice of the application was issued to the counsel for the non-applicant/petitioner, who has put in appearance and states that he has made efforts to contact the petitioner but could not succeed and, thus, has no

instructions.

In the light of the Mutual Compromise Agreement dated 05.04.2011 (Annexure P-1 with the application), according to which the vacant possession has been handed over to the respondents, the present application is allowed subject to just exceptions. The main petition is taken up on board for hearing today and disposed of as infructuous.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to revive the present revision petition in case the averments made in the application are incorrect or that the vacant possession has not been handed over to the respondent-landlords.

**December 07, 2015
khurmi**

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**