

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

COCP No.3067 of 2014

Date of Decision: April 20, 2015

Hari Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

K.D. Chaudhary and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.C. Berry, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner very fairly states that part of the claim, which the petitioner has made in the legal notice, which was ordered to be decided, has been granted to him. However, the petitioner is entitled to gratuity also, which benefit has not been released and, therefore, liberty may be granted to the petitioner to avail of the remedy in accordance with law for denial of the said benefit.

In view of the above, the present contempt is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

Rule discharged.

April 20, 2015

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**