

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
109

COCP No. 2970 of 2014

Date of Decision: April 06, 2015

Bhola Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Balwinder Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Kaundal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Additional Advocate General,
Punjab, for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Status report by way of affidavit has been filed of
Ms. Rajdeep Kaur, Estate Officer-cum-Land Acquisition Collector,
Urban Development, PUDA, in para 5 whereof, it has been stated as
follows:-

“5. That in this matter, the petitioners are claiming
compensation of a part of 46.51 acres of “mushtarka
malkan” land situated in Village Chao Majra, which was
acquired vide Award No. 527 dated 13.12.2011 for the
setting up of IT City at S.A.S.Nagar. As per revenue
records, at the time of acquisition, Sh. Arjan Singh &
others were the owners of the land in question but Gram
Panchayat, Village Chaomajra submitted a legal notice

dated 31.01.2012, to stop the payment regarding this land. On this legal notice, the representative of the khewatdars as well as Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat, Village Chaomajra were afforded an opportunity of hearing and after hearing both the parties, on 22.08.2012 the then Land Acquisition Collector had decided to refer the matter under Section 30-31 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to the Reference Court as the dispute with regard to the title of said land is pending adjudication before this Hon'ble Court in CWP No. 14873 of 2003, wherein vide order dated 14.11.2003 directions to maintain status quo have been issued. Consequently, vide Memo No. 5626 dated 31.10.2014, the matter for determining the rightful owners of the land in question along with the compensation amount of ₹ 2,63,000,087/- has been sent to the Court of Ld. Additional District Judge, S.A.S.Nagar under Section 30 & 31 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, which is now fixed for hearing on 15.01.2015 in the Reference Court. This amount of ₹ 2,63,000,087/- also includes the amount being claimed by the petitioners Bhola Singh & Amarjeet Singh. Copies of order dated 14.11.2003 of this Hon'ble Court and Memo No. 5626 dated 31.10.2014 are annexed herewith as Annexures R/1 & R/2 respectively.”

In view of the above, the present contempt petition does not survive. The petitioners may avail of their remedy in accordance

with law.

Disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

April 06, 2015
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE