

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

106

**CM No. 7181-CII of 2015 &
COCP-2965 of 2014
Date of Decision:06.04.2015**

Ranjit Singh

... Petitioner

v/s

Col. (Retd.) Arun Kainthla and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for applicant-respondent No.1.

Ms. Monicca Chibber Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral)

CM No. 7181-CII of 2015

Allowed.

Status report in compliance with the order dated 27.10.2014 is
taken on record.

COCP-2965 of 2014

Counsel for the respondent with reference to reply which has
been filed submits that the order dated 28.05.2014 (Annexure-P2) passed by
this Court has been complied with and the order dated 28.03.2014
(Annexure-R1) stands passed. Counsel for the petitioner, on the other hand,
asserts that there is delay on the part of the respondent in complying with
the order passed by this Court. He further states that the reasoning which
has been adopted by the respondent while considering the representation of
the petitioner is without any basis and is totally contrary to the claim made
by the petitioner in the representation. He states that some of the claims

which were made in the representation and were ordered to be decided, have also not been considered.

These pleas which have been taken by the counsel for the petitioner cannot be gone into by this Court in the present proceedings except the delay which has been asserted by the counsel for the petitioner in complying with the order passed by this Court, which appears to be not intentional. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the order passed by respondent, he may avail of the remedy in accordance with law.

The present contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

April 06, 2015
geeta

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE