

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCp no.2943 of 2014(O&M)

Date of Decision :12.10.2015

Tek Chand Chauhan

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Mr.Mohd. Shayin

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present : Mr.A.K.Jain, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Sr. Advocate with

Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the respondent

MAHESH GROVER, J.

The order of the writ Court clearly mandated a consideration of the claim of the petitioner regarding passing of the building plans submitted by him to the Estate Officer, UT, Chandigarh.

Respondents in turn have granted a consideration but have objected to certain violations on the ground floor to decline the sanctioning of plans.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that this is violation of the orders of the writ Court and thus respondents need to be proceeded under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act.

On due consideration of the matter, I am of the view that since the orders of the writ Court mandated only consideration which has been granted to the petitioner he would be at liberty to take an appropriate remedy in law to

question the decision of the respondents but in the present proceedings it cannot be said that the respondents have violated the orders of the writ Court. Consequently, instant petition is disposed of leaving the petitioner to his remedies in law.

October 12, 2015
rekha

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge