

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

104.

COCP No. 2932 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION: May 18, 2015

Dr. Pawan Kumar Sharma

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Dr. Roshan Sunkaria and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Additional Advocate General, Punjab,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondents submits that the cost will be deposited with the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court today itself.

In compliance with the order dated 10.12.2014 passed by this Court, an affidavit of the Director, Public Instructions (Colleges), Punjab, has been filed in Court. According to the said affidavit, the amount due to the petitioner has been released to him.

Counsel for the respondents submits that the pay fixation of the petitioner, after granting him increments, has also been done and the revision of pension, on the basis of the pay, has also now been fixed. The matter has also been referred to the Accountant General, Punjab. He, on instructions from Sh. Ashok Kumar Lohgarhi, Joint Director, Director Public Instructions (Colleges), Punjab, states that the matter with regard to the revision in pension shall be finalized within a period of three months

from today.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the calculations, which have been made by the respondents, are not known to the petitioner and, therefore, he would be satisfied if the break-up of the calculations is supplied to the petitioner within a period of two weeks from today.

Ordered accordingly. In case any discrepancy is found in the calculations, which, according to the petitioner, is not correct, he would be at liberty to file a representation detailing therein the said discrepancy. The said representation shall be considered and decided within a period of six weeks from the date of submission of such representation. Decision, so taken, be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith. In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits be released to him, in accordance with law, within a further period of four weeks.

In the light of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of with above directions/observations with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same, in case the time schedule, as fixed above, is not adhered to.

May 18, 2015
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE