

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.981 of 2008

Date of decision: March 11, 2015.

Vijender Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

Anup etc.

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON**

Present: Shri Ramesh Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Shri Kulvir Narwal, Advocate for respondents No.11 & 12.

Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J. (Oral):

Since the main regular second appeal has been dismissed, this petition has also become infructuous and is dismissed as such.

However, the petitioner will be at liberty to take appropriate recourse available to him. If, during the course of proceedings for drawing up final decree before the lower court or in the proceedings before the executing court, it is established that the respondents had raised construction against court orders, no undue advantage, to avoid undue enrichment, would accrue to them.

March 11, 2015.
kadyan

[Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon]
Judge