

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.2870 of 2014
Date of Decision: 15.12.2015

Mohd. Israil

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

Pankaj Aggarwal and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Deepak Manchanda, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

It is stated by learned counsel for the respondents that the petitioner was to be paid 75% by the State and 25% by the management of his retiral benefits. It is submitted that the entire payment has been made, therefore, this petition has become infructuous.

In view thereof, the present petition is hereby dismissed as infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not available, therefore, liberty is given to the petitioner to move an appropriate application for revival of the petition in case the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents is found to be incorrect.

15.12.2015

Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

VIVEK PAHWA
2015.12.15 15:51
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document