

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.2884 of 2015

Date of Decision :16.11.2015

Nirmal

....Petitioner

Versus

Gaurav Tachab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present: Ms.Tarun Preet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

.....

MAHESH GROVER. J.

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 12.1.2015, perusal of which shows that no mandate was given to the authorities. Rather it faithfully notices contents of Regulation 37.2(c) mandating the competent authority, before whom a representation is made, to decide the same after giving the representationist an opportunity of hearing. It further notices that in case no order is passed, the petitioner would be entitled to elicit a final order in the manner contemplated under Regulation 37.2. It is not disputed by the petitioner that an order indeed has been passed and the only grievance now made is that it was without hearing. If that is so then this should constitute a ground for challenging the order, but no cause has been made out so as to

warrant initiation of proceedings against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act. Hence the petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to avail her remedies in accordance with law.

16.11.2015
dss

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE