

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

CM No.5750-CII of 2015 and
COCP No.2844 of 2014

Date of Decision: May 20, 2015

Maya Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Anil Malik, IAS and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Singh Poonia, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

CM No.5750-CII of 2015

Prayer in this application is for permission to place on record
reply by way of affidavit on behalf of respondents as well as Annexures R-1
and R-2

Application is allowed subject to just exceptions.

Reply filed on behalf of respondents as well as Annexures R-1
and R-2 are taken on record.

COCP No.2844 of 2014

Counsel for the respondents states that costs has been paid. He,
on the basis of the reply, states that the orders passed by this Court has been
duly complied with and even the arrears have been paid to the petitioner.

This renders the present contempt petition infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

Since the counsel for the petitioner is not present, liberty is

granted to the petitioner to file an application for revival of the contempt petition, if the statement made by the counsel for the respondents is found to be incorrect.

May 20, 2015
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE