

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
101

COCP No. 2795 of 2014

Date of Decision: April 22, 2015

Smt. Kamlesh

...Petitioner

Versus

Sh. T.C.Gupta, IAS and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Shilak Ram Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sushil Gautam, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court alleging non-compliance of the order passed by this Court in CWP No. 5204 of 2014 titled as **Smt. Kamlesh vs. The State of Haryana and others**, decided on 20.03.2014 (Annexure P-1). While disposing of the writ petition, a direction was issued to the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estate, Rohtak, to verify the claim of the petitioner with regard to Khasra No. 4750, situated within the revenue estate of village Patti Musalman, District Sonapat. A speaking order was to be passed within a period of four months. Since no order was passed by the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estate, Rohtak, the petitioner has filed the present contempt petition.

Now, as per the status report, the order has been passed by the Secretary-cum-Director General, Urban Estates Department, Haryana dated 31-12-2014 (Annexure R-1), according to which, the petitioner's father-in-law, who was the owner of the land measuring 2 Kanal-14 Marla comprising of Khasra Nos. 4750/1 & 4750/3 situated in Sector-12, Sonapat, has already received the compensation amounting to ₹ 1,31,275/- vide cheque No. 908583 dated 26.05.1993.

It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioner that the dispute is not with regard to the said land, for which the compensation has been paid but it relates to Khasra No. 4750 and the area is 1 bigha and 15 biswa. He, therefore, contends that the order passed by the respondents is not in consonance with the order, as passed in the writ petition.

It does not appear to be so in the considered view of this Court. If the petitioner feels aggrieved by the order dated 31-12-2014 (Annexure R-1), he may avail of his remedy, in accordance with law, by filing appropriate proceedings by appending relevant documents and making proper pleadings in this regard as the said aspect cannot be gone into in a contempt petition.

The order having been complied with as per the directions issued by this Court, the present contempt petition does not survive and the same stands dismissed.

April 22, 2015
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE