

COCP No. 275 of 2015

Date of decision: 20.04.2015

Kusum Sharma

.....Petitioner

versus

Jagpal Singh and others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 3.**Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)**

An affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent No. 3 and a cheque of ₹ 124713/- drawn in the name of the petitioner for the period 6.02.2013 to 18.12.2014 has been handed over to counsel for the petitioner in Court.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has no other grievance left except that the petitioner was entitled to get the promotion from 29.12.2008 instead of 14.01.2011.

Be that as it may, the present petition has become infructuous because while deciding the writ petition filed by the petitioner, the legal notice/representation was ordered to be decided within three months which has been done by the respondents. In case the petitioner is not satisfied with the date of promotion, she may take up her remedy in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

20th April, 2015
Shivani Kaushik**[Rakesh Kumar Jain]**
Judge