

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.2784 of 2013
Date of decision : 18.09.2015**

Harpal Singh & ors.

....Petitioners

Versus

Roshan Sunkaria & ors.

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present: Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Punjab.

Mahesh Grover, J. (Oral)

It is an admitted position on behalf of counsel for the respondents that the petitioners are entitled to the claim as has been made by them and should, therefore, be released the dues for the period they had worked with the respondent-college after the date of superannuation.

It is the stand of respondent-college that the bills have already been submitted and have been processed by the official respondent but till date the amount due to the petitioners in the form of grant-in-aid has not been released.

Keeping in view the reply filed by the respondents it is apparent that the respondent-college is shifting the onus to the State and the State to the college, although it is admitted that 95% grant-in-aid is not released to the petitioners. A direction is thus issued to the respondent-State to release the grant-in-aid to the competent authority of Arya College, Ludhiana within a period of three weeks from today. The respondent-College will release the dues to the petitioners within a further period of two weeks.

With these directions, the present contempt petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to revive the same in case the directions issued by this Court are not complied with.

18.09.2015
sonia

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge