

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCF No. 2685 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 02.02.2015.

Sudershan Kaur Walia

--Petitioner

Versus

Dr. Roshan Sunkaria & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, A.A.G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

Learned State counsel has filed in Court today a compliance report by way of affidavit dated 2.2.2015 of the Joint Director office of Director Public Instructions (Colleges), Punjab. Same is taken on record and a complete copy has been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Perusal of the compliance report would reveal that in compliance of the directions passed by this Court, the requisite amount as detailed in para 3 which reads as under, has been made out to the petitioner.

“3. That it is respectfully stated that in compliance of order dated 29.04.2014 passed in Letter Patent Appeal No.695 of 2014, an office order vide no.43(s)-10/142-2014 Services(5) dated 08-01-2015, accepting the claim of the petitioner was issued and the accrued payments amounting to Rs.1,81,264/- (One lac eighty one thousand two hundred sixty four only) in respect of salary for the period of 01-11-2010 to 05-03-2011, and Rs.49,193/- (Rs. Forty nine thousand one hundred ninety three only) in respect of Leave Encashment have been released to the petitioner on dated 30-01-2015 vide cheque no.290470 dated 30-01-2015 by the respondent no.3.”

Resultantly, the instant contempt petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Rule discharged.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

February 02, 2015.
lucky