

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.2608 of 2015

Date of Decision : 14.10.2015

Bhupinder Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

N.S.Kang and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present: Mr.Ishwar Lal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

.....

MAHESH GROVER.J.

The petitioner is aggrieved of the violation of the
directions given vide order dated 23.5.2012 which are as under :-

“This contempt petition is accordingly
disposed of with a clarificatory direction that
disciplinary proceedings initiated against Jagteshwar
Singh shall be completed in a time bound manner and
compliance affidavit be filed in this Court. Similarly,
the Collector, Amritsar shall independently re-consider
involvement of the then Tehsildar and if he finds any
substance in the allegations, action shall be taken
against the said Tehsildar also. The needful shall be
done within a period of four months from the date of
receiving a certified copy of this order.”

The Financial Commissioner looked into the allegations against the Tehsildar and found the same to be without any substance to consign the proceedings. The petitioner contends that this is contumacious.

I am afraid no such inference can be drawn considering the fact that the court had granted liberty to the Financial Commissioner to reconsider the matter and proceed against the erring official in case his guilt is established. If the Financial Commissioner has been unable to establish the same, obviously it cannot be said that he has flouted any direction of the court. The petitioner, if aggrieved against the order of the Financial Commissioner, would be at liberty to avail his remedies in law.

Petition stands disposed of.

14.10.2015
dss

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE