

CM No.4666-CII of 2015 IN/AND
COCP No.2548 of 2014

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CM No.4666-CII of 2015 IN/AND
COCP No.2548 of 2014
Date of Decision: March 03, 2015

Arya Pradhinidhi Sabha Haryana

...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Surina Rajan & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Parveen Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Keshav Gupta, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. R.Kartikeya, Advocate
for the applicant in [CM-4666-CII-2015](#).

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the respondents categorically states that the last order dated 29.11.2014 has not been complied with as the respondents were reconsidering the matter at length at his end. He undertakes that costs of ₹2,500/- imposed by this Court vide order dated 29.11.2014 shall be deposited in the account of Lawyers' Welfare Fund, High Court, during the course of the day.

He states that the order which is stated to be contemptuous and for which, notice was issued to the respondents, stands withdrawn vide order dated 26.02.2015 which has been produced in Court and the same is taken on record and marked as 'A'.

CM No.4666-CII of 2015 IN/AND
COCP No.2548 of 2014

In the light of the statement made by the counsel for the respondents, the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such as the respondents have urged.

In any case, it would be open to the petitioner to revive the contempt petition, if, what is stated by the counsel for the State is incorrect.

CM No.4666-CII of 2015

This application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC read with Section 151 CPC has been filed by the Managing Committee, Arya Ucchar Madhyamik Vidhalya, Narwana and Managing Committee, Arya Kanya Mahavidyala, Narwana. Request has been made that they be impleaded as interveners in the matter.

In the light of the fact that the order which was alleged to be contemptuous, has already been withdrawn and the contempt petition has been disposed of as infructuous, the present application need not to be decided on merit. Needless to say that it would be open to the applicants to avail of their remedies, in accordance with law, if they are aggrieved by any action of the parties.

Mach 03, 2015
Harish

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE