

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CP No.96 of 2000
Date of decision : 04.05.2015

Dashmesh Timber Traders

...Petitioner

Versus

RMI Cycles Ltd.

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Narula, Advocate
for the respondent-company.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Mr. S.S. Narula, learned counsel for the respondent-company has submitted that claim of the petitioner has been settled, therefore, no cause of action survives in the present petition.

There is no representation on behalf of petitioner.

In view of statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-company, present petition is rendered infructuous.

However, liberty is given to the petitioner for revival of the petition in case any cause survives.

04.05.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**