

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH**

(1)

**COCP No. 2254 of 2013
Decided on : 06.04.2015**

Satish Chander and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Ravneet Kaur and others

... Respondents

(2)

**COCP No. 2255 of 2013
Decided on : 06.04.2015**

Narinder Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Ravneet Kaur and others

... Respondents

(3)

**COCP No. 2264 of 2013
Decided on : 06.04.2015**

Raj Gandhi and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Ravneet Kaur and others

... Respondents

(4)

**COCP No. 2281 of 2013
Decided on : 06.04.2015**

Satish K. Tandon and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Ravneet Kaur and others

... Respondents

(5)

**COCP No. 2282 of 2013
Decided on : 06.04.2015**

Sudesh Nanda and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Ravneet Kaur and others

... Respondents

(6)

COCP No. 2342 of 2013
Decided on : 06.04.2015

T.K. Bindal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Ravneet Kaur and others

... Respondents

(7)

COCP No. 2343 of 2013
Decided on : 06.04.2015

Narinder Lal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Ravneet Kaur and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present : Ms. Divya Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners (in COCP No.2254 of 2013).

Mr. R.K. Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners (in COCP Nos. 2255, 2264, 2281,
2282, 2342 and 2343 of 2013).

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Rajdeep Singh Cheema, Advocate
for respondent (s) No.3 and 4 (in COCP Nos.2264, 2281 and
2343 of 2013) and
for respondent (s) No.3 (in COCP No.2282 and 2342 of
2013) and
for respondent No.4 (in COCP No.2255 of 2013).

Mr. S.P. Jain, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate
for respondent (s) No.3 (in COCP No.2255 of 2013) and
for respondent (s) No.4 (in COCP No.2282 and 2342 of
2013).

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral)

Separate replies in COCP Nos. 2254, 2342 and 2343 of 2013

on behalf of respondent No.2 filed in Court, taken on record.

By this order, I propose to dispose of seven contempt petitions i.e. **COCP Nos. 2254, 2255, 2264, 2281, 2282, 2342 and 2343 of 2013.**

It is not disputed that the claim of the petitioners are similar as the same is based upon the orders passed by this Court which pertain to the claim of revised pay scales. It is not disputed that the amount due to the petitioners on the basis of arrears have been paid to them except the interest which the learned single Judge vide judgment dated July 02, 2012 ordered the respondents to release to the petitioners. It is also not disputed between the parties that the petitioners are entitled to 9% interest as per the order passed by the learned single Judge which has been upheld by the Division Bench.

It is submitted by the counsel for the respondent-aided colleges that the claims have already been submitted to the Government of Punjab which fact is not admitted by the counsel for the State.

A direction is, thus, issued to the management of the private-aided colleges to release the amount of interest due to the petitioners as ordered by the single Judge of this Court within a period of three weeks' from today.

It would be open to the respondent-colleges to claim interest amount which will be disbursed by the management, to the petitioners as per above directions, from the Government from the date of submission of the claims till the date of release of the grant-in-aid. In short the interest will be borne by the management or the State, whosoever, is

responsible for the delay in disbursement to the petitioners. The claim of management of the college for reimbursement of interest shall be decided by the respondent-Government of Punjab within a period of four weeks'. In case of any dispute on this aspect the concerned respondent may resort to independent appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

Rule discharged.

April 06, 2015
Naveen

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE