

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 12.01.2015

COCF No. 2209 of 2013

Sultan Ram and others

----Petitioners

Versus

Shri Suresh Kumar

-----Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Harinder Singh Sidhu

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. K.L. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kamaldeep Singh Sidhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J. (ORAL)

It is not disputed that against the order, non-compliance of which has been complained of, SLP had been filed, which was dismissed on 02.07.2014. Thereafter, the review petition was also dismissed on 15.07.2014.

Learned counsel for State contends that there is a proposal to file a curative petition as in some related matters, notices have been issued and the stay of contempt proceedings has been granted.

Be that as it may, as on date this case has attained finality and the only contention is that it is proposed to file curative petition in Hon'ble the Supreme Court.

Implementation of the orders of Court cannot be indefinitely held in abeyance to enable the State to leisurely take recourse to each and every proceedings as may be permissible to it under the law. In the instant case, as of now, the order has attained finality with the dismissal of the SLP and the review petition.

In view of above, subject to any order or direction of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the curative petition if filed, the respondents are directed to positively implement the directions contained in the orders passed in CWP No. 13458 of 2012 within two months of the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Disposed of.

12.01.2015

Atul

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE