

Sr.No.206

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA CHANDIGARH

COCP-2144-2013(O&M)

Decided on: **11.12.2015**

Balwinder SinghPetitioner

vs.

Nikhil GajrajRespondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Grover

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr.G.S.Wasu, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

**

Mahesh Grover, J

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner despite the fact that the case has been called out twice during the course of the day.

Respondent states that more than 90% work has been done and whatever is left that is on account of pendency of a Writ Petition bearing no.5621 of 2014. He has stated that on the writ petition being decided or a clarificatory order being issued by the Writ Court the remaining work would also be completed.

Since the order of this Court has been largely complied with, I would deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition in view of what has been stated by the learned counsel for the respondents.

11.12.2015

mamta

(MAHESH GROVER)

JUDGE