

Sr.No.213

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA CHANDIGARH

COCp-2049-2014(O&M)

Decided on: **02.11.2015**

Yash PalPetitioner

vs.

Tanvi GargRespondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Grover

Present: Mr. Arun Takhi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.J.S.Ahluwalia, Advocate for the respondent.

**

Mahesh Grover, J

The petitioner alleges violation of the orders passed by the Writ Court at the time of admission mandating stay of recovery of the amount. The respondents state that no amount was recovered as long as the petitioner was in service but after he was retired, some amount was withheld on account of the dispute that has been raised in the writ petition but the petitioner has been given the liberty to withdraw the same subject to his furnishing security/bank guarantee. This is the precise stand of the respondents in para no.1 of the preliminary objections.

Consequently, considering the stand of the respondents, I am of the opinion that no cause of contempt has been made out.

The petitioner would be at liberty to withdraw the amount in question subject to his furnishing security to the satisfaction of the competent authority.

Disposed of.

02.11.2015
mamta

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE