

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CP No.133 of 1997 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.05.2015

M/s Anand Brothers

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s MOI Engineering Ltd.

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Alok Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. B.B.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The respondent-company has filed affidavit in the court stating therein that they have settled accounts with the petitioner and according to the respondent nothing survives in the petition. The said affidavit is taken on record.

Mr. Alok Jain, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite repeated reminders, the petitioner has not contacted him.

In view of the fact that payment has been made by the respondent company perhaps for this reason the petitioner has not contacted the counsel nor given any instructions.

Accordingly, no cause survives in the present petition.

Company petition is rendered infructuous.

19.05.2015

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**