

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: APRIL 29, 2015

Mange Ram

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Shri Yogesh Kumar

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioner.

Dr. Sushil Gautam, DAG, Haryana,
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondent has referred to the written statement filed by the respondent, according to which the services of the petitioner were dispensed with vide resolution No.1, dated 08.02.2013 passed by the Gram Panchayat Pabni Kalan, District Yamuna Nagar. He has further referred to the order dated 12.02.2015 (Annexure R-2) passed by this Court in Civil Writ Petition No.11230 of 2013 (Mange Ram Vs. State of Haryana and others), which was preferred by the petitioner, where directions were issued by this Court, for which contempt is alleged to have been committed by the respondent. He, on the basis of the said order, submits that the order passed by this Court clearly indicates that the services of the petitioner were terminated on 08.02.2013 and, therefore, since he was not in service, there

was no question of paying his salary. Counsel, thus, contends that the present contempt petition is totally misplaced and deserves to be dismissed.

In view of the above factual position, I do not find any merit in the present contempt petition and accordingly dismiss the same.

Rule discharged.

April 29, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE