

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

C.O.C.P. No.1953 of 2015 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION : 10.9.2015

Rakesh Kumar Contractor

PETITIONER

VERSUS

Shri Sarvesh Kaushal and others

RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

1. Whether reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present:- Shri T.P.S.Makkar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri M.C.Berry, Additional A.G. Punjab.

MAHESH GROVER, J.

Learned counsel for the State states that the order of the Writ Court has been complied and the payment has been made to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, states that there is a shortfall in the payment.

The order of the Writ Court having been complied with, the instant petition is disposed of having become infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to seek redressal of his grievance regarding shortfall in payment, if any, with the respondents.

September 10, 2015
GD

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE