

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1918 of 2014
Date of Decision: 27.04.2015

Satya Pal and others

. . . .Petitioners

Versus

Smt. Surina Rajan and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr.D.K. Mittal, DAG, Haryana.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State has filed compliance report in the Court today and submits that the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

Since, learned counsel for the petitioners is not present, therefore, it is ordered that in case, the statement made by counsel for the respondents is not found correct, the petitioners may file an application for revival of the petition.

Dismissed as infructuous.

Rule discharged.

27.04.2015

Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE