

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.1933 of 2013

Date of Decision: April 01, 2015

Bali Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

B.K. Singh, IAS & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Joshi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Reply on behalf of respondent No.2 filed in Court, taken on record, copy supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

Perusal of the record indicates that vide order dated 06.02.2014 (Annexure P-5) which was filed alongwith CM No.24306-CII of 2014, the claim of the petitioner has been duly considered by the Estate Officer, U.T. Chandigarh and on consideration of the claim has proceeded to reject the same.

In the light of the order which has been passed by the Estate Officer, U.T.Chandigarh, whereby, the orders passed by this Court, contempt of which is alleged, stands complied, the present contempt petition does not survive.

Disposed of as infructuous.

It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to avail of his remedy in accordance with law against the order dated 06.02.2014 (Annexure p-5) passed by the Estate officer, U.T. Chandigarh.

Rule discharged.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

April 01, 2015