

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.1689 of 2014
Date of Decision: 17.03.2015

Ramrati

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

Rajiv Rattan

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Ms.Divya Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms.Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State has submitted that a sum of ₹1 lac has been deposited in the account of the petitioner under the Rajiv Gandhi Pariwar Bima Yojna, in compliance of the order passed by this Court against which the present contempt petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, who is appearing through Legal Aid, has submitted that she has not received any communication from the petitioner about the deposit.

Be that as it may, believing the statement made by counsel for the State, the present petition is hereby dismissed as infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to file an application for its revival in case the statement made by counsel for the State is found to be incorrect.

Rule discharged.

17.03.2015

Vivek

VIVEK PAHWA
2015.03.18 14:16
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE