

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

C.O.C.P. No.1714 of 2013 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 20.8.2015

Chindo and others

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

Shri Shekhar Dutt and others

RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

1. Whether reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present:- Shri Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri Nimar Preet Sidhu, Senior Panel Counsel for the respondents.

MAHESH GROVER, J.

The order dated 19.7.2006 mandated re-consideration on the issue of compensation by the Special Acquisition Land Collector, Amritsar keeping in view the judgment rendered by this Court in R.F.A. No.973 of 1988.

Reply filed by the respondents indicates that the needful has been done and the amount deposited.

Learned counsel for the petitioners disputes the amount of

compensation and contends that there is a shortfall in it.

Be that as it may, the order of the Writ Court having been complied with substantially, the contempt petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly, but with liberty to the petitioners to pursue their remedy in law in view of the short fall in compensation pleaded by them.

August 20, 2015
GD

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE