

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCF No.1646 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision :10.8.2015

Narinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

A.K.Gupta and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present: Ms.Mansi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

.....

MAHESH GROVER.J.

C.M.No.15324-CII is allowed as prayed for.

By virtue of the order of this court the petitioner was held entitled to complete regular pension instead of provisional. The order having been passed on 9.2.2015 was not complied with by the respondents leading to a legal notice by the petitioner on 12.5.2015 which was replied to by the respondents stating that the pension matter has been finalised and sent to the appropriate authority. The petitioner has placed on record his pension book and contends that the pension so awarded to him is far below than what ought to have been.

In the considered view of this court, at this stage the petitioner cannot allege any violation of the order of this court. In

case his pension has been wrongly fixed, he has a remedy of approaching the respondents with his grievance and seek explanation in this regard. Thereafter the petitioner would still be at liberty to agitate his grievance before this court by way of a contempt petition in case some cause is established. The petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents in the first instance with the grievance of a short fall in the pension. In case the petitioner does so within a period of four weeks from today, the respondents shall be duty bound to give a complete explanation to the satisfaction of the petitioner within a period of six weeks thereafter.

10.8.2015
dss

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE