

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCF No. 1367 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.01.2015.

Satish Kumar Saini

--Petitioner

Versus

Anil Malik & another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate for the respondents.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

A reply by way of affidavit dated 8.1.2015 of the Executive Engineer, City Division, U.H.B.V.N.L, Pehowa, has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record. Copy stands furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner.

The Writ Court while disposing of CWP No.816 of 2013, on 6.11.2013 had directed the respondent authorities to consider the claim of the petitioner as regards counting of his service rendered on daily wage basis towards qualifying service for purposes of pensionary benefits.

In the reply submitted today on behalf of respondent no.2, a categorical stand has been taken that the claim of the petitioner has since been considered and the daily wage service rendered by the petitioner for the period 4.2.1982 to 4.5.1993 has been directed to be counted towards his pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents further apprises the Court that the petitioner is due to retire on 30.4.2015 upon attaining the age of superannuation and at that stage the pensionary benefits would be

computed and released to the petitioner after taking into account the daily wage service rendered towards qualifying service.

Under such circumstances, this Court is satisfied that there has been complete compliance of the directions issued by the Writ Court. Contempt petition is, accordingly, disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Rule discharged.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

January 12, 2015.
lucky