

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*\*

**COCP No.1269 of 2012 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 04.11.2015**

\*\*\*\*\*

Subhash Chander

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

Ram Niwas and another

. . . . Respondents

\*\*\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr.Davidner Singh, Advocate, for  
Mr.Raman Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)**

The respondents have alleged that the order passed by this Court has been complied with in toto whereas, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that commutation of pension and interest on delayed payment has not been paid.

Be that as it may, insofar as the present petition is concerned, it has outlived its life and has become infructuous but learned counsel for the respondents has fairly submitted that if the aforesaid amount, as alleged, is still due, the petitioner may make a representation to the department concerned.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is dismissed as infructuous. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to make a representation to the department concerned in case some amount is still due. It is made clear that in case such a representation is received by the department concerned, it shall be decided objectively within a period of three months thereafter with intimation to the petitioner.

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)  
JUDGE**

**04.11.2015**

*Vivek*

VIVEK PAHWA  
2015.11.05 11:35  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document