

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 2965 of 1993 (O/M)
Date of decision : 20.11.2015

Dina Nath

..... Revisionist

Versus

T.H.B.EGN. Etc.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Suman Devi, Advocate, for,
Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate, for the revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned proxy counsel appearing for the learned counsel for the revisionist states that she has no instructions from the party as the counsel is unable to contact the party.

Dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the revisionist to revive the same, if he so desires.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

20.11.2015
sjks